

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/760,647	01/17/2001	Naoto Kinjo	Q62079	3441	
7590 09/06/2005 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037			EXAMINER . JONES, HEATHER R		
					

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief							

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/760,647	KINJO, NAOTO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Heather R. Jones	2615		

7.47.001 y 7.00.011	03/100,04/	111100, 142010				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Heather R. Jones	2615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
• •		•				
 HE REPLY FILED 22 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. . ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: 						
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no 						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	OWT NIHTIW C			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	,) and the annioniste exte	ancion foe have			
been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened standard, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, 			because			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);				
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 		educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	· · ·					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	is necessary			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	:hed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	Out			
		DAVID L.	OMETZ			

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER



Continuation of 3. NOTE: amendments to the claims changes the scope of the claims therefore requiring a further search and consideration..